

3.2: Subspaces, bases, & L.I.

Def A subset  $\omega$  of the vector space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is called a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if it has the following properties.

(a)  $\omega$  contains the zero vec. in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

(b)  $\omega$  is closed under addition.

$$(\vec{\omega}_1, \vec{\omega}_2 \in \omega \Rightarrow \vec{\omega}_1 + \vec{\omega}_2 \in \omega)$$

(c)  $\omega$  is closed under scalar mult.

$$(\vec{\omega}_1 \in \omega \text{ & } k \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow k\vec{\omega}_1 \in \omega)$$

Note: If  $A \vec{x}$  is a lin. map from  $\mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ; then  
 $\underbrace{\ker(A)}_{\subset \mathbb{R}^m}$  &  $\underbrace{\text{im}(A)}_{\subset \mathbb{R}^n}$  are subspaces

Ex 1: Show  $\omega = \{\vec{x} \mid \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is not a subspace

Students should review (ex.2) carefully in the book.

Ex 2: In 3.1 we saw that the  $\text{im}(A)$  where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ is } \text{im}(A) = \text{span} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

The span is a plane and so  $\text{im}(A) = \text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3) = \text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2)$

Note that  $\vec{v}_3 = \vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2$ . That is the redundant vector is a lin. comb. of  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2$ .

Def: Consider  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$

(a) We say  $\vec{v}_i$  in  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$  is redundant if  $\vec{v}_i$  is a lin. comb. of  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_{i-1}$ .

NOTE: we call  $\vec{v}_i$  redundant if  $\vec{v}_i = \vec{0}$

(b)  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$  are L.I. if none are redundant.

(c)  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$  form a basis for  $V$  if they span  $V$  & are L.I.

ex 2 rev:  $\vec{v}_1$  &  $\vec{v}_2$  are L.I. and a basis for in (A).

How do you find out whether vectors are L.I.? Sure we can do it by inspection... but perhaps we can do better. If they are L.I., then no vector is a linear combination of the others...

$\text{rref}( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \vec{v}_1 & \dots & \vec{v}_m \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} )$  has at least 1 column w/o a pivot.

Def: Consider  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . An eqt. of the form

$c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_m \vec{v}_m = \vec{0}$  is called a relation among  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$ .

Trivial vs. nontrivial solutions.

Thm:  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are L.D. iff there are nontrivial relations among them.

□ proof.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$  are L.D.

$\Rightarrow \vec{v}_i$  is redundant. That is  $v_i = c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_{i-1} \vec{v}_{i-1}$

$\Rightarrow c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_{i-1} \vec{v}_{i-1} + (-1) \vec{v}_i = \vec{0}$  (a nontrivial sol.).

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume there are nontrivial relations among  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$ .

$\Rightarrow$  There is a nontrivial sol.  $\Rightarrow c_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_i \vec{v}_i + \dots + c_m \vec{v}_m = \vec{0}$   
where  $i$  is the highest index s.t.  $c_i \neq 0$ .

$$\Rightarrow \vec{v}_i = -\frac{c_1}{c_i} \vec{v}_1 - \dots - \frac{c_{i-1}}{c_i} \vec{v}_{i-1}$$

$\Rightarrow \vec{v}_i$  is a lin. comb of the other vcs and redundant  
and so  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$  is a L.D. set. ■

ex3: If the cols. of  $A_{n \times m}$  are L.I., find  $\ker(A)$ .

$$\text{Solve } A\vec{x} = \vec{0} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} | & | \\ \vec{v}_1 & \dots & \vec{v}_m \\ | & | \end{bmatrix} \vec{x} = \vec{0}$$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + x_m \vec{v}_m = \vec{0}$$

since  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$  are L.I., there is only  
the trivial sol. and  $\ker(A) = \{\vec{0}\}$ .

Thus we see that the cols of  $A$  are L.I.  
iff  $\ker(A) = \{\vec{0}\}$

Equivalent statements about L.I.:  $(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m \in \mathbb{R}^N)$

- (i)  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$  are L.I.
- (ii) None of  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n$  are redundant.  
or a lin. comb. of the others.
- (iii)  $c_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_m\vec{v}_m = \vec{0}$  has only the triv. sol.
- (iv)  $\text{ker}(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vec{v}_1 & \dots & \vec{v}_m \\ 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}) = \{\vec{0}\}$
- (v)  $\text{rank}(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vec{v}_1 & \dots & \vec{v}_m \\ 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}) = m$ .

Thm: Consider  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$  in a subspace  $V$  of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

$\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$  are a basis for  $V$  iff all vectors  $\vec{v} \in V$  can be expressed as a unique lin. comb. of  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$ .

$\square$  proof  
 $\Rightarrow$  Assume  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$  is a basis for  $V$  and that there is more than 1 lin. comb. that represents  $\vec{v} \in V$ .  
 $\Rightarrow c_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_m\vec{v}_m = \vec{v}$   
and  
 $d_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + d_m\vec{v}_m = \vec{v}$   
 $\Rightarrow (c_1 - d_1)\vec{v}_1 + \dots + (c_m - d_m)\vec{v}_m = \vec{0}$   
 $\Rightarrow c_1 - d_1 = \dots = c_m - d_m = 0$  since  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$  is a basis/L.I.  
 $\Rightarrow c_1 = d_1, \dots, c_m = d_m$  so the representation is unique.

$\Leftarrow$  Assume all  $\vec{v} \in V$  are represented uniquely by a lin. comb. of  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$ .

$\Rightarrow c_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_m\vec{v}_m = \vec{0}$  has a unique sol.  
... the triv. sol.

$\Rightarrow \vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$  are L.I.  $\Leftrightarrow$  form a basis for  $V$ .